

# Philippine Science Letters

Referee:

Title of Manuscript:

## I. CONTENT

Relevance	YES	NO	NA
Are new ideas (e.g. theories, techniques, applications) or new insights on prevailing ideas presented?			
Are non-trivial results that are relevant from a Philippine perspective reported?			
Is the topic of interest to the general scientific community in the Philippines?			
Is the manuscript likely to generate significant interest in this area of research?			
Completeness	YES	NO	NA
Is appropriate and sufficient background provided to put the manuscript in the proper context?			
Are appropriate and sufficient references cited to support the ideas presented?			
Validity and Soundness	YES	NO	NA
Is the background information provided accurate?			
Are the ideas presented consistent with the currently established?			
Do the conclusions put forward follow logically from the results and discussion presented?			
Novelty	YES	NO	NA
Does this paper contain results that have previously not been published?			
Timeliness	YES	NO	NA
Is the paper especially important, interesting, and timely enough to warrant publication in the Philippine Science Letters?			

## II. PRESENTATION

Clarity	YES	NO	NA
Does the title appropriately reflect the content?			
Are the objectives clearly stated?			
Are the figures and tables clearly labeled, well-captioned, appropriate and sufficient to illustrate the arguments of the manuscript?			
Are the conclusions clearly stated?			
Is the manuscript written in correct English and free of typographical and grammatical errors?			
Format	YES	NO	NA
Have the authors followed the prescribed format?			
Are all figures, equations, and tables properly labeled?			

## III. RECOMMENDATIONS

YES NO NA

### The work is acceptable for presentation.

The work is acceptable for publication in its present form.

Minor revisions are needed.

### The work needs further review after major revisions.

### The work is unacceptable.

## IV. SPECIFIC COMMENTS

(A good review is expected to contain clear comments that articulate both positive and negative features of the manuscript. It is intended to provide clearly posed and constructive questions, which the authors can respond to, to further strengthen the manuscript.)